Best management practices for integrating hogs in a cover-vegetable rotation

The Institute of Sustainable Food Systems





Leah Sandler, Research Associate Micheal Robinson, Research Associate Kent Mullinix, Director



This work and research took place on the shared, traditional, ancestral, and unceded territories of the scawa0an (Tsawwassen), x^wma0k^way'am (Musqueam), and other Coast Salish Peoples.

We extend our appreciation to these First Nations for the opportunity to do this work and continue to work on these lands.



scəwallan məsteyəxw

TSAWWASSEN FIRST NATION

Outline

- Research conception and objectives
- Materials and methods
- Hog grazing best practices
- Results and conclusions

Research Conception

- Many market crop farmers routinely put some growing acreage into cover crops - crop rotation plan
- Incorporate cover crops up to 3 times per year (spring, summer and fall)
 - add soil organic matter
 - enhance fertility
 - control weeds, diseases
- Practice of tilling cover crops to terminate growth negatively impacts soil structure and biological activity



Research Conception

- Specific to our area (Fraser Delta) effects are exacerbated in clay textured soils
- Management may require machinery, implements not available to smaller scale, start up market crop farmers

Research Objectives

- Find regenerative ways to manage cover crops and on-farm fertility while reducing reliance on extensive use of tractor tillage
- Investigate the potential benefits from integration of hogs into vegetable production on soil quality, nutrient life cycle, weed management, subsequent vegetable harvest
- Determine the best management practices for rotating hogs through cover crops pasture



Materials and methods

- Two treatments: Grazing (hogs) and Tillage
- Main-plot: 30 ft x 230 ft
- Subplots: 6 per main-plot for grazing (30x38 ft)
- Replicated 4 times in field

Materials and methods

Block 1		Block 2		Block 3		Block 4		
6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	
5	11	17	23	29	35	41	47	
4	10	16	22	28	34	40	46	
3	9	15	21	27	33	39	45	
2	8	14	20	26	32	38	44	Subplot (48)
1	7	13	19	25	31	37	43	
A	B Plot (8)	с	D	E	F	G	Н	

- Yellow main plots were grazed, White main plots were tilled
- Hogs were moved through subplots in direction of arrow



Rotation Strategy

- Hogs were rotated through the 6 sublots based on how fast they ate down the cover crop
- 6 subplots was based on a roughly one week per plot schedule, planning on enough regrowth in that time
- Hogs were rotated through the 6 subplots twice





Rotation strategy

- In experience, rotation worked well on first round through subplots
- Start to run into some cover crop maturation issues - mixes like peas and oats work well in that scenario
- When modeling for your own farm, extend time in plots or number of plots depending on cover and stocking density





Cover Crops Included

- Spring Oats, Ladino Clover, Field Peas
- Summer Sudex, buckwheat, ladino clover
- Fall Fall rye, Vetch





July 2 - fencing into spring cover



Fencing

- Two strand system using Gallagher Turbo braid
- Annually placed plot fencing, with mobile sublot cross fences
- 7/8" Fibreglass rod posts
- Solar Fence Energizer





July 2 – pigs into main plots



Housing

- Combination of wooden frames and cattle panel mesh roof
- Added supporting hoops (emt conduit) to prevent hogs sitting and bending roof
- Tarping the roof provided shelter and shade
- Could easily do an all metal frame -could hold up better to hog usage



Housing

- Handles on one end with wheels on other allows for easy movement
- Designed to be able to be moved by one person and survive two seasons





Housing shelters



Water System

- System devised to limit spillage in the plot
- 1.5 gal/pig/day 20 gallon barrels
- Nipple attached to barrel and placed inside the fence
- Hogs could drink but not interact meaningfully with the barrels
- Fence management was important



Water System







KPU

Water system in larger areas



- Expanded idea to full plot with a large IBC tote
- Good success with attaching nipples with reinforced fiberglass shingle

PU





Aug. 17 – summer cover crop



Sept. 30 - tillage plots







Vegetables following hogs the subsequent year

- In each main plot (230 ft)
- Butternut squash 2 rows at 3 x 5 ft spacing
 - Hand seeded
- Sweet corn 6 rows at 6 x 30 in.
 - Jang seeded
- Sampling weed percent cover, yield and crop performance



July 29 - squash and corn



Impact on soil

- Saw impacts of treatments across many parameters
- Not a substantial difference between treatments
- Interesting to see if soil will change in shorter term project

Table 2. The effect of timing on soil available nitrate (NO₃) at 0-15cm and 15-30 cm, bulk density (BD), volumetric water content (VWC), and electrical conductivity (EC).

		NO	3	BD	VWC	EC	
	0-15 cm		15-30 cm				
Timing	Grazed	Tillage				Grazed	Tillage
		mg k	g ⁻¹	kg cm- ³	- % -	mS cm ⁻¹	
Before	1.64	1.40	1.24	1.09	45.98	0.69	0.84
After	0.85	1.06	0.98	0.98	30.97	0.22	0.17

Results – impact on weeds



ANOVA: treatment, year, treatment*year

Results – Corn yields



Results- Squash yield



ANOVA: treatment, year, treatment*year



Conclusions

- No statistical treatment differences in weeds
 Although tillage did have lower numbers
- Year was significant in number of weeds
- Treatment*year significant in corn yields
 - 2020 yields were larger than 2021 overall
- No differences in squash yield



Conclusions

- Successful hog rotation system- low labour and cost
- Grazing didn't impact weed seed bank to the next crop
 - Need more time
- Corn is a heavy N user, perhaps see difference in yield due to hog nutrient input

Acknowledgements

Funding for this research was provided by Agriculture and Agri-food Canada







Acknowledgements

Research was conducted on Tsawwassen First Nation land in collaboration with the Naut'sa mawt Tribal Council





scəwallan məsteyəx^w

TSAWWASSEN FIRST NATION



Questions or comments:

Contact: Leah Sandler <u>leah.sandler@kpu.ca</u> 314-598-6217

Kent Mullinix Kent.Mullinix@kpu.ca 604-599-2540